

Renewable Energy Committee Meeting Agenda

April 12, 2011

Location: CSG Office Iselin, NJ

1:00 to 3:30

Call-in number: 1-866-740-1260

Meeting ID: 2183408#

Meeting called to order at 1 pm

Introductions

Regulatory Updates (Mike Winka)

At the March 30th board meeting, the board approved the true up budget, there was a \$42 million in true up in the budget, which was basically all carry over. An amount of that is commitments made from 2010 or estimates the market managers budget for EE and RE that were not paid by the end of the year and then carried over to new programs Order should be signed either today or tomorrow and will be on the boards website. In the \$42 million budget, the board approved \$20 million pilot program for large industrial users pilot program-Mike A. can elaborate. Pilot is being circulated, it's all EE. \$5.3 million was reallocated for HVAC program because of large uptake in cool advantage. Mostly due to the tax rebate at the end of the year. There was a reallocation (as proposed) for \$3.4 m for Energy Efficiency products, mostly on the front end (consumer electronic products). That was revised to \$2.4 million. Also a \$1 million for comfort partners for cool roofs. \$3 mil for commercial/industrial retrofit. Reduction of \$6 million from CORE Rebate expirations. In this budget were managing the \$25 million for TRUE (temporary relief of utility expenses) budget. That is a program to help low to moderate income families that cannot afford their electric bill. There's a reconciliation of \$2 million from utilities that paid into the fund more than they supposed to, and we're correcting that with this additional budget. All of these items are in the board order.

We're meeting with the utilities to add potentially \$12 million from DCA weatherization program to comfort partners or enter into and MOU with DCA to do that. We thought it was a good process since we had to reduce comfort partners budget from 32 million to 24 million. DCA was not spending their WEP quickly, and we thought this would help. We have to enter into 6 grant agreements with the 6 utilities.

Honeywell and TRC contracts were extended to Jan 19th 2012. AEG's contract is in and should be on the agenda for April or May 18.

The Pilot program for large energy users, \$20 million budget. there have been meetings with the large energy users, TRC and staff to go over the proposal. That will be circulated when it's finalized. It was circulated in draft form that will be presented to the board for their review and consideration.

Also had a meeting w/ efficiency first to go over some changes in the HPwES to increase the uptake in that market place.

Renewable side: Next agenda looking at a global RE lengthening of commitments for projects that expired in the winter and no one could work. There's a consideration for that to be proposed. On the agenda for March 30th was the Meadowlands rebate extension. The results from the 6th EDC financing solicitation are out and the order was distributed to the website. The results were significant.

Scott Hunter: In JCP&L territory, participation was four times what was offered. In ACE territory there were awards made at just about the same level of capacity as was offered. Prices were significantly lower, a significant number of awards made in the new market segment, it was the first time we allowed projects greater than 500kw and small project participation was greater in this solicitation than previous years.

MW: Yes, 45% of applications that were considered were from the small project sector – not the capacity but the amount of projects.

Scott Hunter: Also, June 2011 next solicitation.

MW: Also, Board directed staff to initiate censuses the second year of the program to initiate a process to sit down with stakeholders for the results of the program and the operations in the program and make a recommendation to the board about proceeding, increasing, decreasing, eliminating the program. The first discussion will be with the utilities to get their input into the process, then rate council and then an open stakeholder meeting about all of these options for the program.

On the agenda were also two rule proposals:

1. Special adoption to implement the rules in solar advancement act.
2. Re-adoption of the RPS and net metering rules with some changes to implement the non special adoption provisions in the solar advancement act.

Scott will talk about 2010 annual report. We are over 300 MW of solar. We installed more solar this past year than the past 9 years.

Q: For the solicitation do you have an average or high and low of the prices?

MW: We do, I think low was in the low 300's?

Q: I thought it was higher?

MW: I think they were in the lower 300s but the results are on the website and you can take a look there.

MW: It was a good solicitation all around, from the BPU standpoint. I don't think anyone can live very long in the spot market. Things aren't going to live that long at that level. As Scott will talk about, that solar market is coming into balance, with the solar RPS. We're at \$300 right now, we're projecting to be somewhere around \$350 at the end of the year, and we need \$365 by next year. We're at somewhat of a balance and we'll probably

go into oversupply in 2012. All of those things need to be factored into this process going forward and other filings. PSE&G has an EE economic stimulus filing in front of us for \$105 million. There is also a filing in Solar 4 All on a capacity transfer between their segment 1A on their site and a 3rd party supplier site. That is scheduled for the next agenda. There's a clarification on the PJM Market issue which was circulated to the parties. Ask mid atlantic CIA or the solar alliance for details

Transition: Still on schedule, we're waiting for EMP, then staff will put out straw proposal and we'll set up the stake holder meetings for that process going forward. The EMP public meetings are scheduled for May 20, 26 and June 1st. Board has set up conference schedules on April 21st for BPU anniversary sessions.

George St Onge (Sunshine Solar Systems): On the global extension, when will that be finalized?

MW: Should be on agenda for April 27th. They are recommending a July 1st expiration date.

RPS Compliance Reporting for Reporting Year 2010 (S. Hunter)

This report is out for public comments, which are due on May 30th. We will then take a look at the comments and we will then reissue a final draft. We realized there are some little errors and typos we will take care of. This is something that Mike asked to be added, so that at a glance you can see what the rebates and grants have been for both NJ class one and for solar programs in the past year. In 2010 it was \$47 million in CORE and REIP and 789k for biomass and 6 wind projects. We estimated the costs for class 1 compliance using pricing data from PJM, EIS-GATS, and from Chicago Climate Exchange. The Pricing information from PJM, EIS is not comprehensive- all transactions don't have a price recorded with it as solar RECs do. There are some pricing issues are discussed in this report. Also, whether the SREC cumulative weighted average price is an accurate indicator of cost in this program is something that needs to be reviewed going forward. Staff will work with GATS administrators and our counterparts in other states for best practices towards price reporting and RPS reporting.

The only difference in this years report from last years is that we added EDC Solar Financing results and we added some cost information that PSE&G reported.

Mike Ambrosia: I have a few questions; it looks like the REC cost dropped from 37 million to 9 million, is that price?

SH: Solar Price of class 1 RECs. We don't have a firm handle on what the supply of class 1 RECs are, because they can be sourced from throughout PJM. So we're expecting that the supply throughout PJM is growing at a faster rate than the demand of the state RPS's.

MA: Is that about \$3 a REC?

SH: Yes.

MW: I think there is about 9000 MW registered in PJM that could be class 1 RECs in NJ. I think the number we need is significantly less than that.

MA: Yes, but other states would be competing for those as well

MW: Yes.

MA: Do you have a sense of how much was built w/o a rebate or EDC financing?

SH: I don't know if we explicitly state that, but PSE&G solar 4 all loan program totaled 36.7 MW and we had 130 MW installed. Subtract out REIP and CORE...

Charlie Garrison: SRP contributed 102 MW in calendar year 2010...

SH: So about 100 MW. Challenge for this report was the issue regarding Reporting Year and Energy Year.

GSO: Is the SREC obligation MWh for RY 2011, 305?

SH: 306 GWh.

GSO: If you carried that 171 to the next year, it would be 306?

SH: Yes 306,000 MWh.

David Hill: Energy Year or Reporting Year?

SH: It was a Reporting Year, but legislation calls in Energy Year.

SH: One other change is last year we broke up the pipeline by the source, SREC Registration, CORE & REIP. I think that will be important to use in the next draft. Comments are due on May 30th.

3) 2011 Program Update (Charlie Garrison) – Presentation 1

Solar capacity as of 3/31/11 is 301.2 MW which includes 9.7 MW of that was new capacity added in March 2011. March was low due to weather. April will be increasing significantly.

We should be surpassing 10,000 projects early summer. These are projects that have been installed and are ready to be energized. There is a lag between our reports and GATS because of registering and such. This is a forward looking number.

Made some minor changes to the website were made under the project activity report section to help the flow correspond with the reports that are emailed out. Title will show the date it corresponds to for ease. Date is also embedded in file name.

4) 2011 Operations Update (Tammy Gray) - Presentation 2

March was a record – breaker. 708 applications were received. We're at 5-7 weeks for approvals. Rebate Processing and SRP Completions- we went from 274 to 472 in March. We approved 1700 projects at 157 MW YTD and we have assigned over 1200 certification #s.

Lyle Rawlings: So 80 MW/month? That's scary!

TG: Yes and the amount of applications we're getting is scary.

Scott (Advanced Solar Products): What about the anticipated scrub rate?

TG: There really isn't too much

CG: Historically there has always been, but now they're not coming in as much with SRP. The rebates might be 20% historically.

MA: That's a good thing right?

TG/CG: Yes

LR: Well, we want it to drive the market, but we don't want it to drive the market into the ground.

Q: Is this just solar, is the wind program coming back?

TG: Yes just solar. Scott will address wind later.

Q: What if you expire after 12 months?

TG: Extensions will be granted case by case. You can get an extension in SRPs as well.

Q: Interval to get paid?

TG: 60-90 days from date of certification later. Typically within the 60 days.

TG: 30% of the EDC notifications we got were for already completed projects. We don't need those; please only send for projects not completed yet.

There are 238 incomplete projects from 2010. Several emails were sent to installers. Inspections are completed, so please send in the information so we can complete.

Q: I have a customer who is opting out. Do you want notification?

TG: Yes, have them send a letter.

Q: When are projects that expire scrubbed?

TG: We usually give about 3 weeks, because our mail is sometimes behind. At this point we've expired anything that needs to be expired.

Angela Sehein (Corbin Solar): Can you explain that EDC Chart again?

TG: Yes we've just been seeing how many of these are coming in.

AS: Doesn't ACE have 65% of projects in the state?

Fred Lynk (PSE&G): I don't think so. We're 4 times as big as ACE.

MW: You may be thinking the ones are in process to be approved at PJM.

Q: Of 238 incomplete projects, how many MW?

TG: I don't have that number.

JB: That could be on Charlie's installations reports.

TG: They would be under QC select status, so that could include others. Also I think 25 of them are just missing EDC, others are older

Frank Schimpf (Energy C2): For SREC IDs? I have multiple customers who are 3-5 months for not receiving an SREC ID. I thought it was 60-90 days.

TG: We're about 5-6 weeks from date of final paperwork for a Cert #.

FS: Should I contact you about ones that are 4 months out?

JB: You should see what status the projects are in, if they're in QC select there's a chance they're missing paperwork.

FS: No they're in the chart as Sent to GATS.

TG: Well then if that's the case then they have the number and a letter went out the same day. System owners should contact NJREINFO@CSGRP.com

Bill Condit (Trinity Solar): Fred you are my favorite EDC for your communications. I'm having a problem with ACE, however. They're EDC notifications are months behind. Any pressure being put on them to comply?

SH: You have to submit that as a formal complaint.

BC: Ok. I'm hoping not to do that, but I guess I will.

Josh (ACE): As far as we're concerned there is no requirement on the time to get the letters out, we're just being asked to get them out as soon as we can.

BC: Well, according to the interconnection rules, it should be 10 days formal notice after the interconnections have been approved and your supposed to give written notification of that.

ACE: That's the time we give approval to install.

BC: So there's no timeframe for written approval? I think you're wrong.

MW: What the board approved on 3/30 was a rule proposal to clarify that. It hit the register, there is a 60 days public comment period and we will proceed with a rule adoption in that regard, and it will clarify the timeframes and reporting that is required.

GSO: Is proposal for 10 days?

MW: OAL will check it- before we can post it to the website; we have to make sure they put it in the register. Then we'll have it posted on our website and you can read the official version from there. It should be a couple of days from now.

AS: Can we get clarification on ACE's annual usage calculations?

SH: That is an agenda item of net metering on April 29th.

AS: I'm going to that but it's holding a lot of things up not knowing.

SH: Josh can you talk about the changes you have implemented?

Josh: We're still doing it the same way, we're just getting so many more applications that we're just scrutinizing more than as we have in the past.

Fred H: They're using everything in ideal, and that's not right.

John Teague: Issued an email a few weeks ago and we're trying to finalize the agenda soon.

MA: My concern is if it turns out that we do cross that line this year and production is more than the RPS requirement, we can have some carryover to next year, but there is a possibility won't be able to sell RECs and/or SRECs, and the prices will start a downward trend. Is there any need for a consumer education piece or document to manage expectations for design of market?

LR: Most of the capacity is being added by entities who are sophisticated to know what a market is. Consumer education may be needed in the residential market, but that's low single digits of percentage of the capacity being added. Real capacity is by sophisticated market players. Does that mean the market can self correct? If there were no evidence to

the contrary, then it's easy to throw out that expectation if you don't lose your job if the market crashes, but there are people who do lose their job or houses and are reluctant to gamble. Unfortunately we do have evidence to the contrary from Pennsylvania the market isn't self correcting, it's only getting worse. Not sure if education is the answer but we'd rather not wait until it's too late. We're very concerned about this. It may be time to start looking at throttle mechanism. Such as not just approve every SRP project that comes in. Applications can be approved but with a time frame, for when they can start accruing SRECs at a certain time frame. You don't have to cut it off, just approve in a time frame. Either way we need to start talking about how to implement a problem.

MA: David, what is happening in PA?

DH: I have not heard anything about a throttle. Lyles point about potential consumer education is very important. I think this report is an excellent match in level of depth into the market.

MA: RPS is designed as a self-adjusting mechanism. It may be time to look at market design. Does PA have 3 year REC life? That might be enough of a buffer there. PJM has 10 times more generation projects in line than what actually get built.

LR: But PA has already crashed. The multi year RECs take up a certain amount of flack, but once you get beyond that point of flack, then you go into severe over supply and then the market will crash.

GSO: Pennsylvania's RPS is much smaller.

LR: Yes, but everything is done proportionately.

GSO: The 30% grant is set to expire Dec 31st, and with this Congress who knows wheter we get it again.

CG: The 30% grant does not expire at the end of this year. The upfront cash does.

LR: So far we've had a philosophy that we have a finite RPS and we'll allow infinite amounts of solar to be built. That cannot continue forever. The solar industry has shown that it will build infinite amounts of solar if it's allowed to. Pennsylvania is evidence of this. They went beyond the buffer provided by multi year RECS, they're now overbuilt by the factor of 2.

Terri S: In state vs out of state requirements, large projects impact the pricing of the SRECs. How was this market set up in the first place.

MW: One of the first steps, was the legislative A2529 which would have said that those projects over 10MW have to come back to the board for review. There was criteria for the board to look at these issues. That was a reasonable step in looking at this issue, especially if they're grid supply projects. Unfortunately that bill got CV'd. We're not sure if the bill is coming back around, but we can always try to get that back on the agenda. It would have to be in rule making though. Board would have had some approval function on projects 10 MW or larger.

MW: If we meet provisions, then solar RPS automatically increases in 2016 by 20%. There's no rule making. This was to increase the RPS to you're building the RPS Requirement

Q: For one year?

MW: 20% across the board until 2026. We can't start measuring until 2013, we have to have 3 years of those provisions being met, and that's when the 20% kicks in.

GSO: Why can't it kick in 2013?

SH: Because the law doesn't say so.

MA: Scott, are you going to do a review of EDC finance programs?

SH: It will be with stakeholders.

MA: Is that the right forum?

MW: It's part of it, it won't include the grid supply process, it's the structured market and how we should proceed in the structure market

MA: I also think we should have ongoing discussions here.

Mike Ambrosio asked David Hill and team to structure a conversation for an upcoming meeting to hold a discussion on consumer education and EDC topics.

MW: The state cannot live at a market price of \$665.

LR: Things can't be altered or put into effect until after the price falls. We should put a plan into effect now for when the time comes.

Michael Flett (Flett Exchange): On educating customers – we have customers calling us all the time. There is a real lack of understanding. NJ has a vision of the whole nation. First thing I do is I ask them if they can afford if SRECs drop 50-60%. Then they need to do risk management if they can't. However; that takes someone calling us, we can't talk to each one of them. If they want to lock in prices they need to go through the loan program. The market can get balanced that way.

MA: I'm just worried that the complaints will come in next year, when people don't get \$600/SREC. We need to be protected with some notice that it is a volatile market.

LR: They need to go the other way too, somebody better be ready to duck.

MW: The school systems we've talked to we tell them not to rely on SRECs. There are other things in that discussion, there is debt servicing, there's grants in lieu of actual dollars. Those are the things they rely on, not just the SRECs. On the private side though I think those discussions need to happen.

MF: One suggestion – maybe push the compliance from the energy companies from September 30th maybe back a month or two? I have customers getting SRECs now that go back to the fall. The last of the energy year 2011, RECs are going to posted June 30th. These energy companies need to have their paperwork in September 30th. If that number was pushed back 30 days or so would give people that didn't register in time enough time to sell into this energy 2011. If we don't, there might be an extra 10 or 20 thousand going into the over supply market next year.

MW: Without a waiver I don't think we could do that.

MA: Is that a comment on the proposed rule? That might be the right forum.

5) Discuss progress of EDC Notification process (EDCs)

John Teague: We had an EDC meeting with the Board staff on Jan 25th in Trenton. We came up with modifications to the process that was to be put in place 2/15. Charlie asked for an update, I notified the EDCs that we needed a 3-4 minute comment on how they were doing. Josh would you lead off?

Josh (ACE): Right now, we're trying to get letters out in blocks. Since it's not clear in the regulations, we're prioritizing with the 3 day and 10 days compliance times. We started including the date of the meter in the letters. We'd like nothing better than to get them out sooner and we are working on it. Hopefully in blocks we'll start to get more of them out soon.

SH: The meter exchange date is occurring within the 20 days?

Josh: yes, and usually much sooner than 20 days.

SH: So delay is just on getting the letter out?

Josh: Yes, we're trying to stay within the 3-10-15 day compliance time, we're just getting a lot in. The other thing is that we are trying to tell customers that it is retroactive in GATS, they will get all SRECs back to meter exchange date/authorize to energize date.

SH: What's your current timeline for the letters.

Josh: I'm not sure, but I know they are about a month behind.

SH: You're also sending an email?

Josh: I told them they can do the email, but they're still only doing the letters right now.

SH: Would an email help?

Josh: I'd have to talk with them about that, I'm not sure. I don't do the application processing myself.

SH: We'll be talking about this at the April 29th meeting. Can you have that information for that meeting?

Josh: Yes.

TS: I thought we were not authorized to energize until we had received the email authorization. Is ACE the only utility allowing the date to energize as the date of meter swap out?

FL: No we do that as well, but we're within the 20 days.

TS: On the interconnection rules, it's not the date of energizing, but the date of the meter swap out.

FL: Yes, we call them separate things but they are the same. The meter exchange date is when you can turn the system on.

Scott (ASP): The problem is if you don't get a letter for another 4-6 weeks afterwards, technically you're not allowed to energize the system and you've lost all those SRECs

FL: The date their authorized to energize is the date they begin SREC creation. It just so happens in PSEG and ACE's case, that date is the day they change out the meter. And that date is within 20 days.

BC: Yes but if we're going actual generation its not the date they chage out the meter because we haven't gotten that notification yet.

Scott: An example is of a residential home owner who is not home when someone comes and swaps out the meter, how do they know if they don't get a letter.

Fred: 1/24 meeting was designed to clarify this. Every day a clerk runs a meter change report and generates an email. The date the e-mail goes out to contractors, is the date to energize.

BC: Yes that why you're my favorite. The problem is that I got one from ACE last week that the meter change was Nov. That is unacceptable.

AS: It also delays them getting their paperwork to NJCEP which delays them signing up GATS.

BC: And very soon that delay is going to cost them money.

Utility continuation:

Lenny (O&R): On the day that we have the witness test, within a week we get an email out to customer generator, the installer and Charlie Garrison. Then following up with a hard copy letter to the customer. The meter is changed sometimes before the witness test, but always within the 20 days. We date the letter of the test as the authorization date.

CG: yes that operative word is the approved for parallel operation. I was seeing them going to the installer, so they are going out.

Lenny: Now they're going to the customer, but CC'ing to installer, if they want it.

Bruce (PSE&G): We have been sending the email to installers with the date to operate the system. The date is the official meter change out date. We stopped sending the hard copy letters completely. Not too many complaints for hard copies, and we're handling those on a one on one basis.

JT: We have been putting the customer generator on the documents, because installers weren't telling the customer generators.

Bruce: The few problems we have had may have been a result from the overlap of new procedures with the old procedures the NJCEP was doing. They seem to be fine now so we'll handle those as they come up.

Bridgette Hoey (NJ Solar Power): Is there any escalation process for when we do send in the final interconnection applications but they take 3-4 weeks to be acknowledged, and we can't get through to anyone. Who do we go to when that happens.

FL: For PS, people send them to myself or Don Cook. We're both under the interconnection section on website. There's a list of individuals for each company, so I would refer you to there.

BH: Yes, I do that, PS isn't the problem. Others don't get back to you.

MW: You need to put it in writing. We've heard this. Just put it in writing so we can go up the line.

John Teague: Yes send them to me.

Update on REIP Wind Program and April 14 Small Wind Working Group Meeting – Scott Hunter

Still have a hold on wind rebate activity while we investigate the occurrence of two failures of wind turbines that occurred. We have a meeting at 11 am on April 14th here in Iselin to discuss the implications of the hold. Not the specific issues of those cases. If you have engineering data or wish to debate why these occurrences happened, that's not what the meeting is about. It is about the wind rebate program and what staff and the market managers have done since learning about these occurrences towards developing program changes to improve the program so that should failures occur, the consumer is not left high and dry. It's about the actual program and how to handle these issues in the future.

MW: Just a matter of any failure. How do we proceed, how do we help the customer. This gives us the ability to put in place the corrections we need.

SH: Agenda has been circulated and if you have an interest in the future of small wind you should attend.

7) NJ REMI approved manufacturer's presentations (J. Bachmann)

- 1) **Petra Solar** – John McCawly – Atlantic County Region – Solar panels – For Utilities
 - a. NJ based company out of South Plainfield. Founded with the idea of bringing together technology and R&D. They are different because they are utility customer based as opposed to regular customers. PSEG is their biggest customer with 30 other utilities throughout the country.
 - b. Panels hanging off of the utility poles are PSEG territory with Petra.
 - c. Founded in 2006, with Solar for all Contract. They currently don't offer anything for Commercial or Residential customers.
- 2) **Princeton Power Systems** – Chris Mangone – Inverters
 - a. Founded in 2001. They have secured contracts with NASA, Department of Energy, etc. They created an inverter to be sold commercially in 2008. Alcatraz will be their biggest 2011 project
 - b. With NJ REMI incentives, their inverter prices are competitive. Military applications are a large part of their business as well.
 - c. Manufactured in Princeton, NJ.
- 3) **Cadmus Solar** – Nathan Scogdon – Panels and Integrated Racking system
 - a. Integrator for inverter and racking system. Pieces will go to jobsite already assembled, and performs best in inclement weather.
 - b. System outperforms crystalline. At 1/5 of the weight of crystalline, it corrects issues that some people have with weight of panels for their roofs.
 - c. Production after snow storms was monitored and the production was still good because of the tilt.
- 4) **Advanced Solar Products** - Lyle Rawlings – Racking System
 - a. System relies on aerodynamics so there is no penetration on ground or roof installations.
 - b. Weight is kept low and every panel has a wind deflector. Solstice Mounting System has a low labor requirement; it is flexible and has received a patent.
 - c. They are the oldest solar company in NJ.
- 5) **Fiore Solar Products** - Richard Materio – Racking system
 - a. Approach is for racking systems that are job specific.
 - b. Adjustable solar racking that is ground mounted is their new project.
 - c. It will move 15 panels as often as you want, one man, with no tools.
- 6) **Renewable Energy Holdings** Mike Stritzki – Racking System
 - a. They are all internally grounded, only 1 wire for the whole system.
 - b. 100% American made, and almost all of it comes from NJ.
 - c. Non-penetrating ballasted.
 - d. System accepts all PV modules.

- e. System is not permanent and is easily removable.
- f. Designed for heavy winds, they are light weight. Everything is bolted, no compression clips, so no worry for high winds. Great wire management.

Presentations and information found here:

<http://www.njcleanenergy.com/renewable-energy/programs/renewable-energy-manufacturing-incentive/for-customers/approved-companies-products>

Ron Reisman, the new NJ Program Manager introduced himself and invited people to come see more information about the NJ REMI presenters out in the foyer after the meeting.

Q: REMI funding is \$1 million, what happens when it runs out?

RR: It is first come, first serve, and perhaps in the future if we see a demand in the program it might get supplemented.

MA: Yes, any program can get adjusted if one program is doing better than another program. The goal is always to not shut any program down.

Q: What is the application process?

JB: There is a box on the technical worksheet that you should check off, but it really happens when you submit the as built. We need an invoice, and we need a REIP application filled out just so we know who is going to get the incentive.

Q: What if we have one already?

JB: They just need to be approved currently and hasn't been installed, i.e. no as-built submitted yet.

MA: Is it available to CORE, REIP, or SRP?

JB: Not CORE, but REIP and SRP and pay into the SBC.

Q: Is there a REMI breakdown on the website?

JB: Yes each technology and format has their own breakdown, there is a whole process listed on the website.

Q: Are Commercial private jobs eligible, with prevailing wage?

MA: Any commercial program that gets a clean energy program rebate, prevailing wage is applicable.

Next meeting is scheduled for May 10th in Iselin, NJ.

Renewable Energy Committee Meeting Attendees

Tuesday, April 12, 2011
 Conservation Services Group
 75 Lincoln Highway
 Iselin, NJ 08830

1:00pm - 3:30pm

Name	Company	Phone	E-mail
Ambrosio, Mike	AEG	(732) 447-1355	mambrosio@appliedenergygroup.com
Bachmann, Joanne	CSG		joanne.bachmann@veic-nj.org
Bassiely, Saad	Solar Express	(732) 613-0100	saadbassiely@solarex.us.com
Bassiely, Sam	Solar Express	(732) 613-0101	sambassiely@solarex.us.com
Biro, Terry	RCL Enterprises	(856) 339-4014	RCLsolar@comcast.net
Chaplin, Dawn	Honeywell	(973) 890-1891	dawn.chaplin@honeywell.com
Colon, Michelle	CSG	(732) 218-4429	michelle.colon@csgroup.com
Courtney, Jim	AEG	(732) 740-5080	jcourtney@appliedenergygroup.com
Damiani, David	CSG		david.damiani@csgroup.com
Dewitt, Frank	AEA	(973) 303-6400	fdewitt@altenergy1.com
Donahue, Denise	Cadmus Solar	(678) 777-4673	dcd@cadmussolar.com
du Boise, Simone	Cadmus Solar	(678) 361-7222	ssdb@cadmussolar.com
Flett, Michael			mflett@flettexchange.com
Fried, Michael	Solus Energy.com		mike@solusenergy.com
Galarza, Ed	Vert-Age Solar	(732) 543-4220	green.construction@vert-age.com
Galletta, Phil	RR Renewables	(732) 634-0326	pgalletta3134@comcast.net
Garrison, Charlie	Honeywell	(973) 890-9500	charlie.garrison@honeywell.com
Gray, Tammy	VEIC	(732) 218-3418	tammy.gray@csgroup.com
Hale, Thomas	ADI Solar Concepts	(732) 918-9001	adisolar@optimum.net
Halse, Lucy	Vanguard Energy Partners	908.534.1302	lhalse@vanguardenergypartners.com
Heller, Theresa	VEIC	(732) 218-3415	theresa.heller@csgroup.com
Hendricks, Mahogany	BPU		mahogany.hendricks@bpu.state.nj.us
Hill, David	VEIC	(802) 658-6066	dhill@veic.org
Hipolin, Willie	ADI Solar Concepts	(732) 918-9001	adisolar@optimum.net
Hoey, Brigitte	NJ Solar Power	(732) 281-3520	brigitte@njsolarpower.com
Hoff, Kimberly	CSG	(732) 218-3410	kimberly.hoff@csgroup.com
Holley, Doreen	Zetta Joules	(609) 306-5488	doreen@zettajoules.com
Hunter, Scott	OCE/NJBPU	(609) 777-3300	-
Jackson, Ronald	BPU-OCE	(609) 777-3199	ronald.jackson@bpu.state.nj.us
Kennedy, Alex	Gabel Associates		alexis@gabelassociates.com
LaRoy, Robert	RCL Enterprises	(856) 339-4014	RCLsolar@comcast.net

Lupse, Janja	CSG		janja.lupse@csggrp.com
Lynk, Fred	PSE&G	(973) 430-8155	frederick.lynk@pseg.com
Mansone, Chris	Princeton Solar Solutions, LLC	(614) 519-6211	CMANSONE@PRINCETONPOWER.COM
Mason, Casi	Corbin Solar		casi@corbinsolar.com
Materio, Richard	Fiore Solar Products	(856)783-3700	richm@pepcosheetmetal.com
McAleer, Jim	Solar Electric NJ, LLC	856-220-7070	jim@SolarElectricNJ.com
McCauley, John	Petra Solar	(732) 476-4218	john.mccawley@petrasolar.com
McHale, Val	Multi-phase Electrical	(201) 297-7870	vmchale@multiphaseservices.com
Miller, Ed	Fiore Solar Products	(856)783-3700	pepcoed@aol.com
Miller, Jeffrey	Quadrillion RE	(201) 707-4024	jmiller@quadrillionsolar.com
Neely, Bruce	Solar-Bridge, LLC		bneely@solar-bridge.com
Peracchio, Anne Marie	NJ Natural Gas	(732) 938-1129	aperacchio@njng.com
Reisman, Ron			ronald.resiman@csggrp.com
Rivera, Alex	Vanguard Energy Partners	(908) 534-1302	-
Rivera, Alma	NJBPU- OCE	(973) 648-7405	almarivera@bpu.state.nj.us
Rowe, Gayle	JBS Solar and Wind, LLC	(609) 884-7373	jbssolarandwind@yahoo.com
Schaal, Gary	Solar Electric NJ, LLC	(609) 929-1746	gary@solarelectricnj.com
Schuld, Jeff	Gone Green Technologies, LLC	(732) 379-5550 ext 7985	jschuld@gonegreentech.com
Schultz, Scott	Advanced Solar Products		scott@advancedsolarproducts.com
Sehein, Angela	Corbin Solar		angela@corbinsolar.com
Smith, Melissa	CSG	(732) 218-3416	melissa.smith@csggrp.com
Spegin, Nathan	Cadmus Solar	(678) 361-7222	nks@cadmussolar.com
St.Onge, George	RRREC	(732) 801-6828	george@rrrec.net
Sullivan, Scott	Florence Electric	(617) 480-8881	ssullivan@teamflow.com
Surman, Cynthia Gregorio	CSG	(732) 218-3417	cynthia.surman@csggrp.com
Teague, John	NJ BPU	(973) 648-7102	john.teague@bpu.state.nj.us
Thanjai, Benoy	Vanguard Energy Partners	(732) 302-3708	bthanjai@vanguardenergypartners.com
Winka, Michael	NJBPU-OCE	(609) 777-3335	michaelwinka@bpu.state.nj.us
Zislin, Neal	Renu Energy	(908) 371-0014	nzislin@renuenergy.com