Renewable Energy Committee Meeting Agenda

March 16, 2011 Location: CSG Office Iselin, NJ 1:00 to 3:00 Call-in number: 1-866-740-1260 Meeting ID: 2183408#

Meeting called to order at 1:00 pm

Introductions

Regulatory Updates (M. Winka)

In filings on Energy Efficiency side, NJ Natural/Elizabethtown/South Jersey Gas have all had extensions approved for E3 EE Economic stimulus filings. NJ Natural Gas is the only one with a small increase in some pilot and CHP programs. Rockland Electric is in final sign offs at their staff and that should be on the agenda for the 30th. PSE&G asked for \$105 million in new funding in addition to their prior filings for hospitals, multi-families and Municipalities. They also have a filing in front of us for Solar 4 All to move capacity from 1a to 1b segment in the excess of 20% provision that allows them to move 20% without an approval. The request is to move an additional 10 MW from segment 1a to 1b. That is on the agenda for the 30th. We're in the final file reviews and final comments for staff position.

Orders:

March 9th agenda, board approved a Memo Of Understanding w/ board of utility affairs to move 12 Million from ARRA weatherization assistance program to help serve customers in the Comfort Partners Program. Hopefully executing payments with the utilities to be able to Extend CPP. Helps DCA to spend their ARRA money and helps us on the budget side since we had to reduce the CP budgets. We're not adding to CP budget, but we can serve more customers. That is for \$12 million but can go up to 16 mil. Also signed and an MOU with EDA. There are 3 programs we manage with the EDA, Clean Energy manufacturing fund, green growth fund and new EE revolving loan fund, which matches up with our pay for performance program. It's a cap of 80% of funding of total project cost with a cap of \$2.5 million. That MOU increases the administrative costs that EDA can charge from \$55,000 to \$660,000 on an annual basis to include these additional programs that they're managing for us. We will have the true up order on the March 30th agenda. On the agenda today is The Solar 4 All and Rockland Electric's EE economic stimulus extension.

SBC in 2012: There was a recent legislation that resolves around the L cap for generation capacity. In that provision, the board exempted natural gas used for generation from SBC charges; it will not effect this funding level, but it is something the board will have to consider in the future. It may reduce the classes of customers that they can collect the

SBC from, not the total amount. The board may decide they want to reduce it by a certain amount. That is to be determined in the future.

Legislation: A2529 was conditionally vetoed by the Gov in the beginning of the month. The bill was conditionally vetoed on the 45th day he had it. This bill assisted in defining distribution in regards to the ability for the generators to get SRECs. It also defined some additional categories for an RPS, and allowed the board to start a stake holder proceeding on an EE RPS. If the bill starts up we would start up the EE committee. There was also a provision for the board's review of projects that are greater than 10 Mw. There was an exemption in the bill that allowed a certain exemption from that 10MW- That was the provision that the Gov CV'd. That process must go back to the senate, and has to be determined if they can accept that or modify it.. There is no time limit on the senate acting on the CV. He did not veto the entire bill, just that specific provision.

Fred Lynk: He generally seemed to support solar except for that very specific part.

MW: Yes, also you can read his Conditional Veto and his rational; it is filed with the Administrative Office of Legislative Services. He felt this exemption was just too broad. There were a lot of good things in the bill, like clarifying the provision for the Gigawatt hour goal in the solar RPS; it defined a process that the board has to follow.

Two appeals: Mid Atlantic CIA appeal of use of CE funds in the appropriations act in the budget, was found in favor of the state. Judges decided it was in the right of the state to utilize those funds in the appropriations fact. Another appeal by the Rate Council on the BDS Auction issue on notice. When board made transition order on the solar transition and established the new solar alternate compliance payment (which went from 300 to 711) in a separate auction, they grandfathered the ability of the 3rd party suppliers in the BDS auction to pass through that increase. Rate council sued saying they didn't follow the appropriate notice procedures on the Administrative Procedures Act. There was an initial decision in favor of the board, this recent appeal said no, the board didn't follow the notice procedures and they have to go back through the notice procedures. So, there's still an issue on the notice and the board is setting up a schedule to do the appropriate notice on the BDS auction. You can read all this documentation that is more detailed.

Energy Master Plan: I am not going to give you a date because the last time date was off. They are in process of finalizing EMP. The issue of the appeal on the BDS auction, is one of the reasons they are delaying the EMP, and to assure the public has the appropriate notice (60 day notice period). The attorneys want us to be absolutely clear. The stake holder meetings will be rescheduled.

Transition documents: Our goal is to have a straw proposal by the end of this month, probably any day now. It will outline the process on the RFP and funding levels for the next few years in the CEP.

Fred Lynk: Also, one agenda item is on the adoption Title 14, ch 8.

MW: Yes, it has to be adopted before April, and then go to the office of admin law for filing.

Questions:

George St Onge: Any updates on Community solar?

MW: I didn't see any bills on Community Solar rolling through; nothing reworking the bill.

FL: Nothing other than that shared municipal services legislation...

MW: Yes, but you have to be a municipal utility authority to do that, and that was for capacity. The three Muni's have to get together to decide to go out and procure capacity, but I think that's more in line with the L cap issues, but it could be renewable capacity they could also procure. I don't think that's even been released out of committee.

Q: Any movement with expansion of pilots – aggregated on site? **MW:** Not firmed up yet on the board agenda.

Proposed modifications to the 2011 NJCEP budgets (M. Ambrosio)

On March 9th staff circulated proposed changes to the budget. Comments are due by C.O.B this Friday. The plan is to discuss them today. Budget staff will then make their final recommendations next week. MM, utilities and OCE will submit final revised compliance filings that match up with the budgets by next week. Scheduled for review by board on March 30th agenda.

Only minor changes to programs.

We true up between actual and estimated budgets at the end of the year. For the most part it's moving money around and merging budgets. For example, moving money around from Green Jobs in EE and merging budget.

The board hired an accounting firm for to look at the trust funds. \$319 million of new funds this year breaks down to a series of monthly payments from utilities. The original budget had \$77 million in state legislative action. In initial order we deducted \$77 million from \$315 million. It was supposed to be \$77.5 so an extra \$.5 had to be added in. In the original, funding TRUE grant (temp relief from utility expenses) was included in that \$77 million. It dropped to \$52.5 million since there was the \$25 million set aside for the 2 year budget.

GSO: Wasn't the \$77 million to pay state's electric bill?

MW: The \$25 million is part of \$77 million, so that leaves \$42.5 million for the general fund for state utilities. \$10 million went to Office of Energy Services for improvement in state facilities. So \$52.5 million of other services, energy bills, and EE/RE in state buildings and \$25 for the TRUE grant. Part of mid-atlantic sea of mitigation.

GSO: Any for utilities to put solar on state buildings?

MW: There is \$10 million for improvement. Certain projects are moving forward. But first step is with EE measures. Large campuses being upgraded but 10 million doesn't even come close to covering it though. We can get a list and update you for next meeting.

GSO: I thought we were discussing a \$319 budget and it's a \$519 million budget. **MW:** \$319 is the new funding level. The total budget for 2011, is the new funding plus the carry over, plus or minus any funding adjustments.

FL: Funding reconciliation. Take care of clean power choice?

MA: No.

MW: SAPP money comes into treasury and is not included in budget, separate treasury account.

MA: Nov 09, an order that rolled all the SACP into their budget, so their budget increased. In 2010 funds were not included.

GSO: SACP payments will be going back to rate-payers through the utilities? **MW:** Yes, Piece of regulation to establish that process.

Mike A went over other components of budget spreadsheet, found <u>here</u>. It was clarified that REMI is under the REIP budget.

RE Program Budget:

- \$23 million budget, \$22 million in commitments. As projects expire, that money becomes available to transfer to other projects/programs.
- GRID Supply Projects 11 mill budget, leaves 7.4 available for new solicitation that staff is developing. Grant solicitation will be issued by the board.
- ETA for solicitation is spring by Scott Hunter
- Off shore wind projects should be started this summer.
- US Dept of Interior will be the hurdles, as the permits can take 8 years to obtain. We're down to half of that at this time.
- 1 million is set aside for REMI and ESFI.

EDA has 3 programs: Green Growth, Loan Program, Manufacturing funds. They should start in April.

Oversight: funding for projects that were reallocated.

EE Budgets: HVAC added 5 million, HPwES additional carryover not needed.

Additionally, \$3 million in retrofit programs.

Direct install: A lot of activity is municipal projects tied to EDCEG grant; last year customer would pay 40%, we would pay 60%. This year the 60% will be paid by SEP. Large energy User's pilot: Not included in original budget. Now we allocated \$20 million to that program. In process of finalizing program proposal that we will talk about at next months EE meeting.

GSO: What is large energy user pilot?

MA: State's largest companies, i.e. Universities, pharmaceuticals. Last year they argued the existing line up of programs had a lot of obstacles for them. They were looking for a

self directed funding program. We tried to come up with a more stream-lined program for them that allows them to aggregate facilities/sits i.e. Rutgers. Idea is they can submit a master plan that can allow them to submit a few buildings. We're looking at the top 25 or 50 customers in state for the pilot. It is still in development stages; however by using this program they can't use the other program, they can't do both.

Question: Comments for carry over funds needs to be sent in by Friday?

MA: Correct. This is all on the BPU Website.

Question: Once you get the comments/recommendations, if someone sends in comments I want to continue to participate in that process.

MA: Staff will summarize comments and respond. It is then up to staff, but typically there is not another round of comments, and it is an internal staff discussion.

David (**Rate Council**) On the revised 2010 tab- can you please provide clarification. The CORE program has \$5.1 million in carryover, and you're making adjustment of \$1.9 million. The difference of that is what is available for next year. How does that number arise? Some are completely zeroed out. Could you explain?

MA: What we do is as we develop budgets, I ask Honeywell what is left in outstanding commitments, so we leave just enough to cover existing commitments. At end of the year there is an estimate of what will be paid before the end of 2010. Some projects were not paid, but still valid projects and carry forward to 2011. Yet, some were cancelled and not extended and those dollars are now available for other projects. Bottom line is that we try to keep the budget about equal to commitments, with some room for appeals.

MW: Zeroed out budgets were programs that are not operating anymore.

RPS Compliance Reporting for Reporting Year 2010 (S. Hunter)

SH: Working with different programs. Meeting with stakeholders on RPS numbers. We've been working with Chicago Climate Exchange. Adding in information from Clean Energy Program 2010. Expect to have report done by April.

MW: There is also a 6th solicitation of the EDC Solar Financing Program. That will be the 2 year mark of that program. We will be starting a stakeholder process for all of the utility loan programs. We will come back to the board after 90 days if we continue, expand or decrease these programs.

2011 Program Update (C. Garrison)

- a) Solar Installed Capacity preliminary report
 - 1. As of 2.28.11 291.2 MW installed
 - 2. 31 megawatts installed in 2 months.

MA: On a side note, we had a couple of extension requests due to bad weather. Staff on its own initiative is recommending a blanket 6 month commitment lengthening for

projects that expire Jan 1st though July 1st of this year, it would be modified to July 1st as the new expiration date. There is a proposal to the Board to modify these expiration dates.

Tammy Gray: Only for those that are not eligible for another extension? **MA:** Yes, this for only ones that were not able to get a normal extension.

CG: Weather related delay is evident in March numbers. Forecasts were much higher.

MA: When will we cross line for having enough SRECs to meet the RPS requirements?

SH: Hard to gauge because the recording can be pushed to end of year. There are statistics that we report in the SREC pricing reports. It's already over 100,000. The track that we are on we could be over our goal for total capacity that should be installed.

MA: In this Energy Year, do you think there will be enough SRECs generated to meet 368 MW installed?

CG: We may be a little short but very close. Between 90-93%

MW: Yes these projections should be close to what we need at the beginning of the Energy year if we keep this pace. (Starting June 1, 2011 begins 2012 energy year.)

GSO: As far as the Energy Master Plan, the ACP for Solar?

MW: Once EMP comes out we will be ok.

Chris McDermott: Can we come back to the discussion on the reported MW capacity and the SREC supply projections. I think it's important for everyone to keep in mind that the supply projections that Charlie gives out (the 290 MW), that's based on when a system gets QA/QC step. In fact, a project is not eligible to generate SRECs until it gets the permission to operate letter, which can delay the QA/QC stage by up to a few months. So that's what we really had at the end of February, we didn't have 290 MW producing SRECs we probably had about 260 or 270 and another 20 waiting around for inspections or net meters. So would it not be more appropriate going forward that when we give the capacity numbers, that the capacity number is based on the date it says on the permission to operate letter and not this QA/QC date.

SH: SREC eligibility is based on the authorization to operate date. That's not waiting on QA/QC is not the same. Solar owners will go to GATS with those SRECs in hand. CM: Yes but GATS has a test so that people aren't back dating SRECs pre the Permission to Operate date. My point is that I was always under the understanding that the QA/QC date preceded the permission to operate date by a material period. And certain way of looking at the charts that get put out that go through all the steps that seems to be the case. We should have a column in the report that shows the permission to operate date, because that is the key date. When it gets inspected is fairly irreverent. GSO: Yes but now we need that permission to operate letter from the utility to get to QA OC status.

MA: The clarification that Scott was trying to make is that it's not that you're starting to generate 3 months later; you just might not have the cert # to cash in those 3 months yet. **CG:** To your point Chris, I do agree that the permission to operate date should be in that report, we just don't have enough of those to significantly alter this data, but in the future I will start to include it.

2011 Operations Update (T. Gray)

Timelines are shortening: Cert #'s 5-8; Approvals 6-8 @ 150/week

HIC Licenses: Please contact Michelle Colon: Michelle.Colon@csgrp.com

System Sizing: You should size systems to be within the 12 months historical consumption. System cannot be over 100%, we are no longer checking, but EDC's check on interconnection side. The net metering rules have not changed.

Angela Sehein: Some utilities are using the inverter information to approve interconnection.

FL: That's not my understanding.

MA: John Teague handles these types of issues.

AS: Yes I am speaking with him

MA: Yes let us know if it gets resolved.

CG: I have seen issues like this, where the EDC used the inverter size.

MW: Yes in the rules, there is an appeal process for issues where you don't think the EDC has made the correct decision.

AS: On interconnection – Which information does GATS use?

CG: They will use the facility information of the panels that we provide them. GATS uses DC wattage of the panels.

Q: What is margin of percentage allowed by utilities concerning usage?

FL: There is no margin. We will look at the months that are most recent, unless there are extenuating circumstances that would alter that. If you have changed your system size from when you first applied you need to start the interconnection process over again.

MA: Fred you also mentioned that any structural changes will take engineering into consideration.

FL: Yes, default is past 12 months, but exceptions will be looked at.

AS: Equipment changes at the end but still within usage. How do you handle last minute changes?

FL: I don't know for everyone, but when you change the system size from what you originally applied for, you should have to apply again.

Angela is still having an issue with getting approval from EDC for system size changes. **CG:** For your first issue you should go to John Teague. For the System size changes, you should go to the April stakeholder meeting.

Jim McCleer: Is there anyway that the utilities can agree that we can request a history of the usage? If we both knew what the goal line was, we can work and design to that goal line.

FL: As it relates to PSEG, there are a lot of reasons why people want to request that history. Is it possible for the same people for the interconnection people to pull that information for specifically this reason? I will have to look into that.

GSO: How much personnel are working on the Renewable Energy side of things? Fred: There are dozens of people involved.

MW: You have a point of contact for the interconnections. Can you have a point of contact on the website for the service history? So that the public can reach out on their own?

FL: I've noted that it's a problem getting usage history in a timely manner.

MW: Why don't we put that on the agenda to see if the utilities can designate a point of contact on the website or website address where the contractors can go to make that first call.

FL: When an interconnection application comes in it is transferred to the SREC division who know the operations of the circuits and where this is relative to the load. So there area a lot field people that go out and determine if this can happen or not. So that's why I say there are dozens of people.

GSO: I just asked because we are going to grow thousands of megawatts

Fred: We're guided by the rules that Mike has been pointing out. To meet safe, adequate, reliable services.

MW: You could also participate in the rate proceedings that discuss staff issues, you're more than welcome.

2011 Operations Update (Cont)

TG: 2011 Final As-Built Checklists and forms are now required. Notice sent out and posted on 2/15.

Final As-Builts received after 2/15/11 will not receive a NJ Certification Number until all requirements listed on the 2011 Final As-Built checklist have been met including the EDC notification. If incomplete, the Market Manager will send out one email only to the installer to identify the missing items.

All project and application status & questions contact:

1-866-NJSMART & NJREINFO@csgrp.com.

AS: Is there still supposed to be a general interconnection form?

John Teague: The standard interconnection form should go out next week. Yesterday I got input from three of the EDC's, I need to talk to PS still.

FL: You should work with Don Cook

MA: Also, I think there was an issue of what would or would not be posted on the website, related to the interconnection application?

FL: The issue was where to put it right now. I will report that since the 15th of Feb., the interconnection applications for level 1 and level 2 was not up, but it is now up on the website:

www.pseg.com/home/save/manage_costs/njcep.jsp

Joananne Bachmann: We are going to link it on the NJCEP website.

CM: The slide that had the SRP trends, it notates the incoming solar projects by number of projects; we need a chart showing the megawatts of solar.

CG: That data is in the approved spreadsheets, you can see as monthly reports are processed you can see what that added capacity is.

CM: It is very hard to understand all of that data, it should be in just one chart.

SH: Yes, but your request is to make attach a chart similar to this bar chart that would have MW received. That is not the pipeline though, this bar chart is on initial applications before they're even processed. So, a MW number is not immediately available, what "235 applications in March" would be.

CG: By the time you have that immediate data, you would have what the real capacity is in the solar pipeline. It is all in one, CORE, REIP & SRP are all together. You don't need to combine the spreadsheets, because you'll get duplicates. There is a manual that is sent out with the reports.

Update on NJ REMI eligible manufacturers (J. Bachmann)

Scott (**ASP**): You should note that unlike the REIP (FC3) program the incentive is not capped for the size. Correct?

JB: Yes, correct

Q: What happens if it is a mounting system?

JB: Goes back to installer. Whatever is listed on As Built Paperwork.

MA: Developing rebate program after getting rid of a rebate program. Is there a way to up-stream to cut administrative costs? Not looking for an answer but I think we need to have a discussion about this next year.

JB: A few of these companies are here so please try to meet them afterwards and at the April 12 RE meeting, each manufacturer will have 5 minutes to present products approved in NJ REMI

MA: We would like to also hear from the manufacturers if they have any suggestions on how to better run the program.

Agenda Item 7

CG: Looking for comments about how the turnaround time on interconnection approval. We have not been putting dates on the reports sent to GATS on as built paperwork received before 2/15 that did not have the EDC approval date.

MW: Looking to add additional reporting as well. So we can better track the timelines.

SH: Good agenda item for April Net Metering and Interconnection meeting.

MW: Yes, looking for the same kind of reporting we get here.

Agenda Item 8

Janja Lupse: There is a temporary hold on REIP wind project applications and processing.

SH: The program coordinator received some disturbing news on a wind application, that it had thrown some blades. This caused staff to immediately put a hold on wind projects that we have in the pipeline. We're trying to do our due diligence that everything is saved. It applies to new rebate applications and ones that are in house. While we're working on this, April14th there will be a small wind working group and we're talking with our lawyers on how to go forward with what we have in house.

Q: How long is the hold?

SH: At the April 14th meeting we'll be talking about design issues. I don't expect the program to be going forward as business as usual. This is to ensure that systems that are installed are safe. The hold will be as long as it takes. I do expect to know more after that April 14th.

MW: Next Board agenda meeting is April 27th after that meeting is held.

SH: It probably won't be ready until the one after that.

MA: Scott, did we discuss putting out a notice that will further clarify what the suspension means?

SH: Yes I agree.

Question: What about projects that have been inspected and are waiting for a rebate? **MW:** It affects every application and rebates being paid out.

MA: I think Scott discussed that for the ones pending, we're in discussion with the Attorney Generals office for guidance on how to proceed. I don't think we're talking April 27th for that decision yet, it's more of an internal discussion.

O: Notification on website?

SH: Will talk with all manufactures and installers on April 14th, and we'll have some more information after. Any notification will go through the RE listserv.

Comment on the phone: When the utility companies send us the notification on part 2 of the application, they will state its ok to turn on the system; you don't have to wait until the net meter is installed. Is that documentation for the EDC approval or do we have to wait for the meter to be installed?

JB: Yes the JCPL email that says you can turn on the system, yes that is the document we would need.

Next RE Meeting on April 12th in Iselin.

Renewable Energy Committee Meeting Attendees

Wednesday, March 16, 2011 1:00pm - 3:30pm Conservation Services Group 75 Lincoln Highway Iselin, NJ 08830

Name	Company	Phone	E-mail
Ambrosio, Mike	AEG	(732) 447-1355	mambrosio@appliedenergygroup.com
Bachmann, Joananne	CSG		joananne.bachmann@veic-nj.org
Battaglia, Erick	Honeywell	732-919-0010 x-202	erick.battaglia@honeywell.com
Cadoret, Joshua	Pepco Holdings	(302) 454-4589	joshua.cadoret@pepcoholdings.com
Carpenter, Joseph	NJ DEP	(609) 341-2183	joseph.carpenter@dep.state.nj.us
Colon, Michelle	CSG	(732) 218-4429	michelle.colon@csgrp.com
Costales, Peter	EcoSolar Solution	(908)380-4848	peter@ecosolarsolutionsllc.com
Damiani, David	CSG		david.damiani@csgrp.com
Davey, Matt	Petra Solar	(732) 429-6461	matthew.davey@petrasolar.com
DIGNALIKEG DAVID	ACADIAN CONSULTANT	(547) 540 4004	
DISMUKES, DAVID	GROUP	(517) 518-1294	0.400.4
Flett, Michael	C F		mflett@flettexchange.com
Fried, Michael	Solus Energy.com	(700) 540 4000	mike@solusenergy.com
Galarza, Ed	Vert-Age Solar	(732) 543-4220	green.construction@vert-age.com
Garrison, Charlie	Honeywell	(973) 890-9500	<u>charlie.garrison@honeywell.com</u>
Gray, Tammy	VEIC	(732) 218-3418	tammy.gray@csgrp.com
Heller, Theresa	VEIC	(732) 218-3415	theresa.heller@csgrp.com
Hendricks, Mahogany	BPU	(000) (50 (0))	mahogany.hendricks@bpu.state.nj.us
Hill, David	VEIC	(802) 658-6066	dhill@veic.org
Hoey, Brigette	NJ Solar Power	(732) 281-3520	brigitte@njsolarpower.com
Hoff, Kimberly	CSG	(732) 218-3410	<u>kimberly.hoff@csgrp.com</u>
Hunter, Scott	OCE/NJBPU	(609) 777-3300	_
Jackson, Ronald	BPU-OCE	(609) 777-3199	ronald.jackson@bpu.state.nj.us
Johnston, Mike	GS Solar	(732)787-5545	mikejohnstone@gardenstatesolar.net
Kahaue, Will	Solar Island LLC	(609)457-7467	wkauaue@solarislandllc.com
Kennedy, Jack	Fiore Solar Products	(856)783-3700	pepcoed@aol.com
Lupse, Janja	CSG		janja.lupse@csgrp.com
Lynk, Fred	PSE&G	(973) 430-8155	frederick.lynk@pseg.com
Materio, Richard	Fiore Solar Products	(856)783-3700	richm@pepcosheetmetal.com
McAleer, Jim	Solar Electric NJ, LLC	856-220-7070	jim@SolarElectricNJ.com

McCawley, John	Petra Solar	(732) 476-4218	john.mccawley@petrasolar.com
	Fiore Solar		
Miller, Ed	Products	(856)783-3700	pepcoed@aol.com
Miller, Jeffrey	Quadrillion RE	(201) 707-4024	imiller@quadrillionsolar.com
Muskatt, Rosalie	New Age Solar	(609) 223-0277	rmuskatt@optonline.net
Patnaude, Suzanne	Solyndra	(908)331-2598	suzanne.patnaude@solyndra.com
Peracchio, Anne Marie	NJ Natural Gas	(732) 938-1129	aperacchio@njng.com
Peters, Christopher	Acadian Consulting Group	517.518.1294	cpeter9@gmail.com
Rager, Clay	Rager Energy Consulting	856 589 7168	clay@RagerEnergy.com
Rivera, Alma	NJBPU- OCE	(973) 648-7405	almarivera@bpu.state.nj.us
Salerno, Miriam	Performance Excellence Partners	(202)294-8183	msalerno@gmail.com
Schaal, Gary	Solar Electric NJ, LLC	(609) 929-1746	gary@solarelectricnj.com
Schuld, Jeff	Gone Green Technologies, LLC	(732) 379-5550 ext 7985	jschuld@gonegreentech.com
Sehein, Angela	Corbin Solar	(100)	angela@corbinsolar.com
Smith, Melissa	CSG	(732) 218-3416	melissa.smith@csgrp.com
Sobolewski, Terence	SunPower	,	terence.sobolewski@sunpowercorp.com
St.Onge, George	RRREC	(732) 801-6828	george@rrrec.net
Steindel, Sarah	Rate Counsel		ssteinde@rpa.state.nj.us
Surman, Cynthia Gregorio	CSG	(732) 218-3417	cynthia.surman@csgrp.com
Teague, John	NJ BPU	(973) 648-7102	john.teague@bpu.state.nj.us
Winka, Michael	NJBPU-OCE	(609) 777-3335	michaelwinka@bpu.state.nj.us
Zhou, Boli	B2 Plating	(908)336-0299	bolizhou@b2plating.com
Zislin, Neal	Renu Energy	(908) 371-0014	nzislin@renuenergy.com