SOLICITATION FOR PROPOSALS TO DEVELOP OFF-SHORE WIND RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITIES SUPPLYING ELECTRICITY TO THE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM SERVING NEW JERSEY RESPONSES TO BIDDERS QUESTIONS

NAME	QUESTION	OCE RESPONSE
Paul Gallagher ACUA	1. Will entities that either bypass this proposal or are unsuccessful participants in this proposal be barred from bringing forth offshore development projects until the completion of this pilot test?	While it was the recommendation of the BRP for one test pilot to proceed initially, the State has not established an official policy with regard to this issue.
	2. Will the successful entity in this process be essentially given exclusivity for the duration of the test: alternatively, will other entitles face a defacto moratorium until the pilot test is complete?	See response to question 1 above.
	3. Assuming MMS finalizes its rulemaking, but before the pilot test has concluded its construction phase and post construction monitoring, would the State accept and process applications from a new entity (one that did not participate in this process) for transmission and interconnection permits within State jurisdiction?	See response to question 1 above.
Steve Radel JayCashman	4. Will the BPU extend the bid solicitation deadline to beyond January 16, 2008? We respectfully request a three to five month extension given the significant amount of work that needs to be performed in order to be as responsive as possible. Without this extension parties will have a difficult time establishing teaming arrangements, working through the upcoming holidays, and	If there are any extensions to the proposal submission deadline, the OCE will post notices of such extensions under "Updates" on its web site found at www.njcleanenergy.com ,

T T		
	adequately addressing the items	
	requested. Further, we believe the	
	request for an extension is valid,	
	for the reasons noted and the fact	
	that the bird study window was	
	missed for Fall 2007 automatically	
	lengthening the project schedule	
	by one year.	
5	. Will the BPU include a second	It is anticipated that the responses to this first set of questions will be the end
	round of questions several weeks	of the Question and Answer ("Q & A") period for this solicitation. However,
	after the responses to these	should the State allow for additional Q & A, it will notify all interested parties of
	questions have been reviewed?	its intent to do so through a notice on its website, which is identified in
	-	response to Question 4 above.
6	. Does the grant solicitation award	See response to question 1 above.
	provide exclusive rights to	
	develop an offshore wind project?	
7		The NJ offshore wind project's location can be anywhere within the geography
	the project be constructed in NJ	described in the solicitation.
	State waters (within 3 miles from	
	the shore)? and will a project	
	proposed in either NJ State	
	waters or Federal waters receive a	
	different review ranking? In other	
	words, is there a preference for a	
	project in State or Federal waters?	
8		No, the NJBPU does not have a date or deadline to cancel the award. Rather,
	deadline in which BPU can cancel	as set forth in the solicitation, the State reserves the right to rescind the award
	the award? For example, the	in the event that the successful applicant fails to obtain all necessary permits
	Developer needs to have certainty	or the NJBPU determines that the construction and/or operation of the offshore
	to attract significant outside	wind project would have unacceptable adverse impacts on wildlife, ocean
	investment. The timeframe for this	uses, ocean resources, or the environment, economy or tourism.
	could be linked to permit	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	approvals.	
9		For a copy of a draft grant agreement, the Jersey Atlantic Wind (JAW)
	be available to review?	agreement can be obtained for review at the URL listed:
		http://www.njcleanenergy.com/renewable-energy/technologies/wind/wind-
		working-group/resource-links/resource-links.
1	0. If the Grant Agreement will be	See response to question 9 above.
	similar to other final Agreements,	
	when will these example	
	Agreements from other projects	
	be available and how can they be	
	accessed?	

11.	. What taxes will NJ levy on the project?	Applicants should consult with a tax advisor.
	Does the BPU have any relevant information on the PJM data congestion and load pockets?	You may find information on PJM data congestion and load pockets by visiting the PJM website at www.pjm.com . The BPU website at www.bpu.state.nj.us has other relevant PJM information. The BRP Interim Report has additional references to PJM.
13.	Does the BPU have any information available on obtaining leases from the DOIMMS, for example terms or example leases?	No, applicants must identify appropriate State or Federal agencies they will be contacting for land acquisition issues and provide the OCE with a summary of the required arrangements for verification purposes. The MMS site for obtaining information on leases is located at: http://www.mms.gov/offshore/RenewableEnergy/RenewableEnergyMain.htm
14.	Will the BPU revise Section 3.2.3 Previous Experience, to acknowledge that the Applicants Team will remain its team for the duration of the project, and that any changes to be approved by the Board will not be unreasonably withheld?	Any changes to be approved by the Board will not be unreasonably withheld.
15.	Regarding reallocation of personnel, will the BPU please also stipulate that the Boards approval shall not be unreasonably withheld in those instances where applicants reallocate their personnel/resources used to obtain the award?	See Response to Question 14 above.

	16. Are entities not awarded the solicitation barred from pursuing offshore wind projects in NJ State waters?	See response to question 1 above.
	17. Will the BPU through its Proposal Evaluation Committee develop a proposal review procedure and rank the proposal criteria in order of importance using a weighting system so the proposing entities understand the areas of extreme importance to the evaluation committee?	Prior to the opening of the proposals, the Evaluation Committee will have prepared a proposal review procedure and weighted proposal criteria that will be used to evaluate the proposals and will only be shared among the Committee members.
	18. Are firms that worked on feasibility and current baseline ecological studies precluded from being part of a Developer Solicitation Team?	While this solicitation has not expressly addressed this situation, applicants are encouraged to review the contractual provisions of the NJDEP's Ecological Baseline Study and NJ Commerce's Economic Study. NJDEP responded to a similar question as part of their RFP and stated that "Wind energy developers, power suppliers, utilities, or any other entity that may have a vested interest in the outcome of the study or would subsequently be direct participants in construction, operation, and ownership of wind energy facilities off the coast of New Jersey in State or federal waters are prohibited from participating in this study and shall not be awarded this contract."
Doug Pfeister BlueWaterWind	19. Section 3.2.1, Pages 14-15: The Cover Sheet and Abstract are identified as being one-page documents. Considering the large amount of information required for the proposal, it will be very difficult to keep these two documents to that length. Are there penalties associated with exceeding the length? Is there flexibility concerning this limit?	There are no penalties for exceeding the page length however brevity is encouraged and will be rewarded in the evaluation process. Applicants are encouraged to follow all directions for submission of proposals as expressed in the solicitation. Please use the appropriate sections within the Scope of Work for supplying additional detail.
	20. Section 3.2.5, Page 21, first paragraph: Are these meetings intended to be with the Office of Clean Energy or as internal to the development team? If they are meant to be with external agencies (e.g., Office of Clean Energy) how should the applicant	These requirements are intended for the applicant and its internal team; however the applicant must invite the external agencies including OCE staff, members of the OCE evaluation team including but not limited to NJDEP, NJEDA and NJ Commerce to the kickoff and related project management meetings. Demonstrating compliance with this requirement is the submittal of a schedule and plan for such meetings.

	,
"plan" such meetings for the purpose of demonstrating	
compliance with this	
requirement?	
21. Section 4.2, Pages 24 – 26: What	Also, see response to 17 above.
will be the system and criteria for scoring? How and when will the	
"detailed evaluation criteria	
weighting system" be developed?	
(A comprehensive scoring system	
was developed for the 2006	
Delaware power supply RFP,	
including point allocations for: environmental impacts, fuel	
diversity, technological	
innovation, project timelines, site	
selection, and project	
financeability.)	
22. Section 3.2.5, Page 21, second paragraph: The Solicitation	Although the State reserves the right to do otherwise, it is anticipated that the award for this grant will be made to one (1) team. The reference to
contemplates a possible outcome	"Awardee(s)" is generally used here to include all parties composing the entire
of multiple winners. How will the	team. With respect to additional projects, see response to question 1 above.
awards be decided and granted in	
this case? For example, how	
would the 350 megawatts be divided among multiple	
awardees?	
23. Section 1.3, Pages 8-9: Why must	The winning applicant will be eligible for up to \$1.9 million in funds for offshore
data, project designs, and attributes be provided to the	wind development work. In the case of a breach or default, the work
Board "for use as it determines"	performed prior to such breach or default and any associated documentation is expected to continue to have value for New Jersey citizens. These funds are
in the case of a "breach or	derived from the ratepayers of regulated utilities within New Jersey. The BPU
default" by the successful	is intent upon ensuring the greatest value from this solicitation to test the
applicant?	viability of offshore wind for New Jersey.
24. Section 4.1, Page 24: This Section	No legislation is anticipated to be necessary for the rights to construct and
asserts that what will be awarded	enter PPAs. A PPA for energy offtake from this project is anticipated to be a
under this Solicitation to the	private contract between the project owner and a third party such as an electric
winning bidder is solely the grant	supplier or Electric Distribution Company.
award. In order to build a wind park, a developer needs a Power	NJDEP has posted a list of potential permits and approvals which may be
Purchase Agreement (PPA), off-	required at the Office of Permit Coordination and Environmental Review
shore development rights, and	website at http://www.nj.gov/dep/opppc/permitcoor.htm
The Office of Clean Energy – Questions and Responses – Solicitations for Proposals to De	

25	other regulatory permits. Pursuant to what legislation will the rights to construct the wind park and enter into a PPA be awarded? Section 4.3, Page 26: What is the scope and type of the proposed "bond or another instrument" to	A performance and decommissioning bond proposal is required of the winning applicant and will be a factor used by the evaluation team in the evaluation process.
26	be requested from the successful applicant?	
	applicants be judged with respect to the amount of funding they seek? Will those requesting a small amount be judged more favorably than those seeking a larger sum – or vice versa?	Prior to the opening of the proposals, the Evaluation Committee will have prepared a proposal review procedure and weighted proposal criteria that will be used to evaluate the proposals and will only be shared among the Committee members. Also, see response to question 25 above. All things being equal, an applicant seeking less funding will be evaluated higher than one seeking more funding. I The cost to generate after the turbines are operational will be a factor in the evaluation.
27	Will a project that seeks no funding be subject to the same requirements as one that seeks some amount of financial assistance? For instance, would a developer taking no funds from the State still be required to comply with the Statement of Work in Section 3.2.5?	Yes
28	This paragraph says that in the event of default by the successful applicant, ownership of any air emissions and tradable credits reverts to the State until such time as the financing under the program is fully recovered. This implies that the State may have a first lien on the air emissions and other tradable credits. This may somewhat complicate intercreditor arrangements with lenders to the project and counterparties to trading	It is not anticipated that the State will have a first lien on emissions credits. Please specify any details or concerns of your team in the appropriate sections of your submission, include any documentation on the expected monetary impacts of this provision and recommendations for pre-award contractual treatment.

agreements. What collateral will the Board require? Will the Board have a lien over air emissions and tradable credits? 29. Section 2.2.1, Page 12, last paragraph: Does the "aggregate capacity not to exceed 350 MW" refer to nameplate capacity or	This project size should not exceed 350 MW in nameplate capacity.
peak generation delivered to the busbar? 30. Section 2.2, Page 11, second paragraph: Please explain the requirement that "only those applications proposing to receive the exact incentives on a per kilowatt hour basis required from	In Order to evaluate the proposals, BPU has requested that applicants express an exact amount of incentive required on a per kilowatt hour basis to enable comparison of submissions. If the evaluation team cannot determine the amount of incentive requested on a per kWh delivered basis, the submission may be subject to denial or a lower score by the evaluation team.
New Jersey's Clean Energy Program will be considered." What are these "exact incentives"? 31. Section 3.2.3, Page 16: The applicant is required to list any limitations with respect to siting.	Applicants' proposals should be flexible enough to enable modification as discovery of relevant facts unfold.
Considering that not all of the federal siting requirements from the Minerals Management Service (MMS) will be published before January 16, 2008, the applicant may need to make subsequent changes to its proposal and planning documents. How will the Board manage these subsequent changes?	
32. Section 3.2.3, Page 17: The paragraph on Schedule indicates that the one asked for here is "in addition to the project timeline as referenced and required in section 3.2.4." Please indicate how these schedules are different from one another.	Section 3.2.3, the schedule requested here is for each distinct project component proposed. Section 3.2.4 is a request for a schedule from start to finish including 3.2.3.

33. Please confirm that the applicants may propose multiple candidate offshore wind park areas and multiple transmission interconnection points in a single proposal and that the evaluation criteria will be applied to each alternative site and interconnection point.	Evaluation criteria will be applied consistently to each unique application and all the component elements within a single application. Multiple applications from a single team will be accepted and evaluated separately.
34. Does the solicitation imply any limit on the number of turbines in the project proposal?	Applications will be accepted proposing any size wind turbine and project capacity with an aggregate capacity not to exceed 350 MW.
35. In the event the awardee is unable to fulfill its obligations under the Solicitation, will the BPU designate and then select a runner-up that will assume full control of the project and complete it from that point forward?	Project applications are expected to be completed by the proposing team. However, the Board reserves the right to select another winner for the project under such circumstances.
A note on environmental questions: It has been made clear that environmentally related questions may be directed to the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection at their meeting on November 9. However, we respectfully request that the Board of Public Utilities consider answering these questions in the event they are not all answered by the DEP at that meeting.	Noted, see subsequent responses below.
36. Section 1.1, Page 4, third paragraph: The Solicitation indicates that applicants are expected to present a plan to adapt their proposals to the results of the NJDEP Ecological Baseline Studies and NJ Commerce Economic Assessment. The Ecological Baseline Studies were scheduled to begin October 1, 2007 and	The data from the NJDEP Ecological Baseline Studies Draft Interim Report will not be completed or available prior to the due date of January, 2009. The NJ Commerce Economic Study is expected to be released in February 2008.

	finish in approximately two years, and a draft report of the Economic Assessment is scheduled for completion on November 15, 2007. Please indicate when or if the data and/or draft reports will be made available to bidders for consideration prior to the proposal due date of January 16, 2008	
	What types of "additional and ongoing data" will be required from the applicants to support the Ecological and Economic studies?	It is not known at this time exactly what "additional and ongoing data" will be needed from the successful applicant. However, it is the responsibility of the successful applicant to coordinate with the relevant NJDEP and NJ Commerce study teams and provide to these teams any information that can reasonably be provided. The applicants must conduct additional site-specific studies on their proposed locations for the pilot project. These studies must complement ongoing studies in order to provide specific data on the proposed locations and to address expected data needs for state and federal permits including the Minerals Management Service federal permit.
	What is the BPU's expectation concerning revisions to the applicant proposals following the release of results from theses studies? For instance, how many times must applicants update their proposals after January 16, 2008 in accordance with these studies?	Applicants' proposals should be flexible enough to enable modification as discovery of relevant facts unfold.
	Section 1.3, Page 8, second paragraph and Section 3.2.3, Page 16, "Site Location and Description": Please indicate how and when the BPU will define "unacceptable adverse impacts." Potential projects would benefit greatly from a deadline by which the State would announce whether or not such impacts existed.	The State will decide if the construction and/or operation of the offshore wind project would have unacceptable adverse impacts on wildlife, ocean uses, ocean resources, or the environment, economy or tourism. The determination of impacts will be based on data, including the NJDEP Ecological Baseline studies, NJ Commerce's Economic Assessment and the data/information from this award.
40.	Section 4.2.20, Page 26: The solicitation encourages applicants to minimize negative impacts to wildlife and habitat by taking into	The recommendations being referred to are those that will result from the Ecological Baseline studies and from the permitting process derived from the Minerals Management Service in the Department of the Interior.

	consideration "recommendations" from State and Federal Agencies. Which "recommendations" are being referred to in this requirement?	
Nelson Garcez PSE&G	41. The "Blue Ribbon Panel" in its final report recommended that "the State facilitates the development of an offshore wind turbine test project not to exceed 350 MW or 80 turbines." The Solicitation sets a limit of 350 MW but did not limit the number of turbines. Please confirm that there is no limit in the number of turbines.	There is no limit in the number of turbines proposed. Applications will be accepted proposing any size wind turbine and project capacity with an aggregate capacity not to exceed 350 MW.
	42. The Solicitation mentions in item 4.2 - Evaluation Criteria and Process, that a detailed evaluation criteria weighting will be defined. To better understand the relevance of each of these criteria we kindly ask the Board to share with the participants the criteria at least 45 days in advance of the due date for the submission of the responses	See response to question 17 above.
	43. Will the awardees of this solicitation be granted exclusivity to discuss with the NJ-DEP the permitting for an offshore wind project in the coastal waters of New Jersey? And through what period?	See response to question 1 above.
	44. Can you clarify the tax treatment of the Grant, for New Jersey State and Federal income tax purposes?	See response to question 11 above.
	45. Section 2.2 Scope of Work, last paragraph – given that there are	

	no current US based firms with "ocean-based renewable energy plant operational experience," does this imply that proposed teams must include a European based operational entity?	
46.	Section 2.2.1 Proposal Minimal Requirements – Can you define what is meant by a "material deficiency?" Can you give examples of material deficiency as opposed to a non-material deficiency?	Material deficiency – refers to a submission or proposal element that fails to adequately address or satisfy a requirement contained in the Solicitation document for this grant inasmuch as it either (i) deprives the State of the assurance that the applicant will perform the project as required or (ii) would place the applicant in a position of advantage over other applicants.
47.	Page 12, point 2, "Project Developers must demonstrate applicable experience in projects of the size and scope proposed;" and, point 3, "include resumes of members on the team that have an identifiable track record in construction and operations of power plants of similar size and scope." Given that no off-shore wind farm in the 350 MW range is currently in operation, would a proposal be downgraded should a developer propose a project of this size?	Project developers may demonstrate applicable on-shore wind or European experience similar in projects of the size and scope proposed in the solicitation.
	Page 18, Electric Interconnection - "Identifyupgrades to existing equipment required" Given PJM study requirements and timelines, it is unlikely that most developers will be in the queue. Is it acceptable to have necessary upgrades identified by a third party consultant rather than the PJM / ACE?	While PJM and ACE identified upgrades are preferred, the OCE will accept supporting documentation from other sources as long as they are fully documented and clearly described.
	We applaud the initiative of the Board to move forward with this important component of the	See the response to Question #4 above.

renewable energy solution for New Jersey and appreciate the level of detail that the staff has requested to assure that feasible and executable proposals are submitted. However, considering the amount of information that must be submitted, we respectfully suggest the Board to consider an extension of the response due date for a period of extra 90 days.	
50. Given the challenging economics of offshore wind and the fact that current energy, capacity and REC markets are unlikely to support a project, would the Board consider any additional support, including possible modifications in the RPS scheme, beyond the \$19 million subsidy already identified?	The solicitation provides for a production incentive grant up to \$19 million of which 10% may be used for upfront design and permitting. It is the responsibility of the applicant to estimate and document all other sources of project revenue.
51. I would like to request an extension of 60 days to the proposal due date of 1/16/08. The amount of work required for this effort is substantial and is well beyond the time given in the solicitation.	See the response to Question #4 above.

Daniel Cohen
FISHERMEN'S ENERGY OF NEW
JERSEY, LLC

- 52. The Blue Ribbon Report recommends a "limited test project only not to exceed 350 megawatts" but in other places the Blue Ribbon Report recommends "350 MW or 80 turbines".
 - a. Which is the limiting factor 350 MW or 80 turbines?
 - b. Please provide a more precise definition of "350 megawatts". Does this refer to nameplate capacity of the installed turbines, or to some other measure of capacity?
 - c. Since, this is a 'test project' we assume the State will not accept proposals to build more than 80 turbines. Is this correct, no more than 80 turbines?
 - d. Which is the limiting factor, 80 turbines or 350 MW?
 - e. During the Q & A period the BPU stated this is the only Solicitation for Proposals for offshore wind planned for New Jersey and that this Solicitation for Proposals follows the recommendations of the Blue Ribbon Panel Final Report. Please confirm in writing that this is the 'test project' planned in the Blue Ribbon Report
 - f. We know the NJBPU and Governor's office have been discussing having a Solicitation for Proposals

- a) Refer to response to question 41 above
- b) Refer to response to question 29 above
- c) Refer to response to question 41 above
- d) Limiting factor is the 350 MW capacity.
- e) The solicitation is in response to Recommendation 5 in the Blue Ribbon Panel Final Report, which calls for a 'test project.
- f) The solicitation is for a "test" project and no other solicitation will be issued. Refer to the response to Question #24 above.

for capacity, which this test project addresses. At the Q & A meeting the BPU stated there will not	
be a power purchase Solicitation for Proposals by the BPU or any of the load-serving entities that	
report to the BPU, for this project, please confirm in writing that there will not	
be another solicitation or RFP.	
53.	
a. The Blue Ribbon clearly states no additional wind projects should be allowed to be built off	a. Refer to response to question 1 above, the State has not established an official policy with regard to this issue.

New Jersey until the test project has been built and post construction impact studies conducted and the benefits vs. costs analyzed. The Solicitation for Proposals is not clear, though, that the State intends to adhere to this recommendation of the Blue Ribbon Report. Please clarify in writing that the State intends that no other offshore wind projects be built off New Jersey until the impact studies referred to in the Blue Panel Report and Solicitation for Proposals, including all studies that require a pilot wind offshore farm actually be in operation generating electricity and monitored for operational impacts, have been completed and fully analyzed by the state.

- b. How does the State of New
 Jersey intend to assure that no
 other offshore wind projects off
 of New Jersey are constructed
 until after the test pilot project
 has been built and 2 to 3 years
 post construction impact
 studies completed? (also see
 below questions)
- c. Whereas no offshore wind towers or farms have been built anywhere in the US at this time and since any (all) proposed developers would have to take significant risks to even initiate the permitting process, are we correct to assume the purpose of this Solicitation for Proposals is to identify the best 'team' for New Jersey to seek permits, construct, and operate a 'pilot' wind farm, giving this 'best team' the green light to be the

b. Refer to response to question 1 above

c. Refer to responses to question 1 and 53a above.

of the 'grant' to best addressing raised in the So Proposals will a so designated to permitting proc reduced risk of State of NJ is e project, and (2) NJ will not allow projects to be p begin construct time as this firs solicited by the	tords, is it hat the awarding the responder gothe issues oblicitation for allow the 'team' op proceed in the less (1) with the knowing that the encouraging the that the State of wother offshore permitted or to tion until such to pilot project, NJ BPU, is the impacts of its been fully inalyzed? Writing that the coordinate with tion of this pilot ther offshore It is the responsibility of the winning bidder to coordinate with PJM. Applicants will need to follow the PJM permitting process. This process is not facilitated by the OCE. See response to question 12 above regarding PJM information.
55. a. Since this Solicitat Proposals is for a 'test which will need to be MMS and the USACOI will the State be coord MMS and USACOE to pilot' project at the he for action by MMS and projects off New Jerseb. The BPU can only MMS and USACOE, we relationship with the cand NJDEP to control others (non awardees Solicitation for Proposition).	project permitted by (among others) dinating with place this 'test ad of the 'queue' dusacoe for ey? b. Refer to response to question 1 above.

project) so that the NJDEP Land Use office does not issue permits and does not allow construction to commence by other applicants for NJ landing and crossings until such time as the results of the post construction testing of the 'pilot' project has been completed and the analysis of impacts completed as called for in the Blue Ribbon Report? 56. The Solicitation for Proposals specifies up to 350 MW, but does not specify who will be the 'buyer' of the electricity. We assume that the bidder is free to negotiate with any prospective buyer of electricity. There does not seem to be requirement to provide the energy specifically to the BPU or to a BPU specified utility. Is that correct?	See response to Question 24 above.
57. The \$19 million dollar 'grant' payable upon production of electricity is not a significant incentive or subsidy in relation to risk of permitting and to the cost of construction. Is this \$19M grant in the Solicitation for Proposals the only anticipated source of NJ incentives for the development of 350 MW of offshore wind? If there are additional grants or incentives planned, what are they and when will they be published?	See response to question 50 above. Also, additional incentives include Class 1 Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs), Production Tax Credits and any other federal incentives provided by law and each revenue source must be clearly accounted for in the applicant's proposal.
58. The Solicitation for Proposals states "A maximum of 10% of the total grant production credit may be requested under this Solicitation for Proposals to be paid in the form or an upfront, payment for design, engineering, and permitting costs." a. If the responder is the successful responder to the	For responses to 58a. and 58b please refer to section 3.2.6 Project Economics and Associated Financial Statements, which addresses allowable upfront incentives to facilitate financing fees, interconnection costs, project design, permitting and/or construction costs.

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Solicitation for Proposals and if	
the 10% is requested (\$1.9 Million	
Dollars) when would these funds	
be released to the responder?	
b. If the 10% upfront payment is	
requested by an applicant, please	
clarify exactly at what point in the	
development process these funds	
can start to be drawn – i.e., what	
pre-conditions or milestones	
(permits applied for, permits	
received, design or engineering	
work completed, etc.) must have	
been met before the money can	
be drawn?	
59. Is the full \$19 million available to	The \$19 million will be available to a project that meets the Scope of Work in
a project, regardless of size (i.e.,	the solicitation, regardless of whether the proposal reaches the full 350 MW
will the grant be reduced	maximum level.
proportionately for projects less	
than 350 MW)?	
60. The January 16 deadline is	See the response to Question #4 above.
relatively short, especially with	
the upcoming 'holiday season'. Is	
that date firm? Will the state	
consider extending the deadline	
by 30 days?	
61. The responders are directed to	
'incorporate the results of the	
NJDEP Ecological Baseline	
Studies and NJ Commerce's	
Economic Assessment and to	
reflect the recommendations of	
Appendix 1 of the Blue Ribbon	
Panel's final report. Appendix 1	
refers to "baseline species and	
abundance information".	
a) Is it the intention of the State	
that the Baseline studies will be	a. The information will be available to inform the MMS process.
used by MMS in its EIS?	
b) Will this test project, the subject	
of the Solicitation for Proposals,	b. The MMS process is still evolving; proposed regulations are anticipated in
require an EIS or EA prior to	December, 2008.
The Office of Clean Energy Overtions and Responses Solicitations for Proposels to De	

	construction? We interpret that	
	the EIS will be the	
	programmatic EIS of MMS and	
	only an EA will be necessary for	
	permitting for the project	
	envisioned by the Solicitation	c. Reporting of post-construction monitoring data will be required at the
	for Proposals. Is this also the	developer's expense as specified in applicable permits.
	interpretation of the NJ BPU?	developer 3 expense as specified in applicable permits.
c)		
	be done by the successful	
	responder post construction	
	impact studies. We note	
	Appendix I calls for site specific	
	"pre-construction studies	
	should begin 1 year before	
	construction begins, with	
	appropriate surveying	
	continuing through	
	construction phase, and ending	
	2-3 years after the facility has	
	achieved full operation". Do you	
	agree an EIS will be needed at	
	the end of the 2-3 year post	
	operation study? If so, whose	d. See response to Questions 61a and 61b above.
	responsibility will it be to	d. See response to Questions or a and orb above.
	perform the EIS from the	
	information developed, the NJ	On a manufacture of the Constitute of the consti
	BPU / NJ DEP or should the	e. See response to Questions 61a and 61b regarding federal requirements.
	responder include in its	Also see the NJDEP website at http://www.nj.gov/dep/opppc/permitcoor.htm
	proposal the inclusion of a full	for further guidance.
	post construction EIS?	
d)	Are we correct to assume that	
	the NJ Baseline Study is the	
	'baseline' for a programmatic	f. See responses to all subsection of question 61 herein.
	EIS by others (either MMS,	
	USACOE, or NJDEP)?	
e)		
	the responder does need to	
	perform an EA but does not	
	need to perform an EIS for the	
	project permitting?	
f)		
	responder should be prepared	
	to complete an EIS of the site	
	specific preconstruction (1year)	
·		

and post construction testing (2-3 years) and comparing their cost benefit impacts?	
62. Availability of information concerning Atlantic City Electric load pockets: The Solicitation for Proposals asks that a proposal help resolve transmission and load issues on ACE's grid. The BPU should have ACE provide ACE specifications, transmission, and load pocket issues to bidders in written format by November 15 for information for responders	Applicants should contact the Electric Distribution Company directly.
63. Which company / institution is the lead for the NJ DEP Baseline Study? Who is their contact person for the Baseline Study? Are they aware of this Solicitation for Proposals? Are they prepared to meet with potential responders?	See solicitation page 4. The Division of Science, Research and Technology is the program in the Department of Environmental Protection taking the lead on the Ecological Baseline Study. You may visit their website at http://www.state.nj.us/dep/dsr See the NJDEP website at http://www.nj.gov/dep/opppc/permitcoor.htm for further guidance.
64. Which company / institution is the lead for the NJ DOC Economic Assessment study? Who is their contact person? Are they aware of this Solicitation for Proposals? Are they prepared to meet with potential responders?	See solicitation page 4. The Department of Commerce, Economic Growth and Tourism ("Commerce") is responsible for this study. The contact person at Commerce for this project is Catherine Scangarella New Jersey Commerce, Economic Growth & Tourism Commission, P.O. Box 820, 20 W. State St. Trenton, NJ 08625-0820
65. We understand the Mineral Management Service will be publishing in the Federal Register within the next 10 days, but after November 3. a. Has the BPU coordinated	a. No, the BPU has not coordinated this Solicitation with MMS. b. Although the State reserves the right to reopen the Q & A period, we do not

this Solicitation for Proposals with MMS b. If the Federal Register raises issues that conflict with the Solicitation for Proposals will the NJ BPU reopen the question period after publication of the Solicitation for Proposals?	know at this time if that will be done.
66. How does one ensure that all items identified in the pending reports are included in the proposal if they have not yet been delivered? It appears that acknowledging commitment to incorporate the reports could potentially lead to changes in the project parameters that can adversely affect cost, production, timetables etc. Can you provide any further guidance on this matter?	See response to Question 38 above.
67. If this happens due to no fault of the awardee (i.e. the State decides that Wind Power is not compatible off New Jersey due to information which becomes available to the State of New Jersey between now and the granting of permits for construction) can there be partial payment of the grant to reimburse the responder for work completed, prior to a change in NJ policy	No official state policy in this regard has been issued, however, the solicitation allows for up to 10% of upfront cost to be requested by the applicant and the additional 90% to be paid in the form of a production incentive after construction.
68. What does the Board define as a shortfall?	As stated in section 3.5, "if a project consistently generates less than estimated in its proposal or current project award package.
69. Which criteria are most important to the Board?	See response to Question #17 above.
70. Section 1.1 states that environmental monitoring and natural resource collection should	See response to Question 1 above. Also, post construction impact studies and the specified period of time to monitor will be specified in the appropriate permits.

<u> </u>	
be collected for an unspecified	
period of time. We believe the	
length of time and specification	
for environmental monitoring post	
construction are outlined in	
Appendix 1, which states	
"appropriate surveying continuing	
through the construction phase,	
and ending 2-3 years after facility	
has achieved full operation." Are	
we correct that this is the	
specified period of time to	
conduct post construction impact	
studies?	
71. Can an applicant specify more	See response to question 33 above.
than one location they are	
considering for locating the 'pilot'	
wind farm? If so, how should the	
responder do this – by	
incorporating options in the	
response or by submitting more	
than one response?	
72. At the Q & A session the BPU	See response to question 9 above.
referred to the publicly available	
grant agreements between the	
BPU and ACUA (for the AC 5	
turbine on land wind farm). Can the BPU make these documents	
available for review by	
responders?	
73. Are we correct to interpret in the	Yes, your understanding is correct. However, in your proposal submittal,
Solicitation for Proposals, pages	applicants should take into account all portions of the Solicitation.
1-13 up to Section 3.0 as	applicants should take into account all portions of the collocation.
background for information that	
should be included in the	
response, whose format for	
responding is outlined in Sections	
3.0 to 3.28?	
74. Are we correct to interpret in the	Yes, you are correct in your understanding that Section 3.0 to 3.2.8 of the
Solicitation for Proposals	Solicitation provides the format and elements that must be in the applicant's
Sections 3.0 to 3.2.8 as listing the	proposal
format and elements that must be	
in the submittal?	
75. Are we correct to interpret in the	Section 3.3 to 5.0 of the Solicitation provides further information regarding the
	elements of the proposal, the evaluation process/criteria, contract award and

Sections 3.3 to the end, 5.0, of the Solicitation for Proposals as additional background information? To the extent that some of the information requested in the various Sections is listed more than once in various portions of the Solicitation for Proposals, we assume we only need to provide the information once in our narrative response to the Solicitation for Proposals and not in duplicate, is that correct?	post-award requirements. If information is requested more than once, the applicant should only include the information once and reference all sections in the Solicitation where the information is requested.
76. Is there a maximum permissible length (in pages) of the response to the Solicitation for Proposals? If so, what is the maximum length in pages?	Other than the cover sheet and abstract, there is no page limit for the other sections listed in 3.2. However, we encourage applicants to provide the responsive information as concise as possible to allow expeditious review of all the proposals by the evaluation team. This will also expedite the announcement of the award date.
77. The Solicitation for Proposals contains the following language: "Indicate applicant's plans for marketing energy Include applicant's consideration of marketing energy from the distributive renewable electricity generation to fulfill the Board's RPS requirements" What do you mean by 'distributive renewable electricity generation"?	Distributive Renewable Electricity Generation" "DREG" means electricity that is generated by Class I renewable energy.
78. Please clarify the exact subject and contents that is requested for the section of the grantsolicitation response entitled "3.2.5 Statement of Work". Please clarify what is meant by "the primary contractual document that outlines work activities and required performance for payment and financing?" a. Payment by whom for	a. Payment of the grant award by NJBPU or its agent. b. The EDA offers financing c. The applicant's Statement of Work will form the basis for the contractual agreement as to the work that will be required to be performed

what?	
b. What financing, the	state d. See response to question 20 above.
is not proposing	
financing?	
c. Please clarify this	
sentence	
d. Also this Section re	efers to e. See response to question 20 above.
Project Managemen	
Meetings – does thi	
to meetings with the	e BPU
for the 'grant' or int	ernal
meeting of the	
responder?	
e. We assume this ref	ers to
meetings with BPU	to
monitor the 'pilot' p	
Are we correct?	
f. If this refers to mee	ting
with BPU to monito	r the
project we assume	this
refers to meetings	g. Yes, you are correct. The reporting requirements will also be outlined in the
through out the terr	
the project, which w	
assume begins afte	r
award and continue	es to 5
years post when	
electricity commend	ces to
correspond to the	
production credit g	rants
being offered and to	0
encompass the time	e e
needed to conduct	post
construction impac	
studies and for the	
and BPU to analyze	the
impacts. Are we con	
g. Also this Section re	
Reporting Requiren	nents,
we interpret the	
Reporting Requiren	
to also begin after a	
and continue to five	
period post product	
electricity commend	ces. Is
this correct?	
this correct?	

79. The Solicitation for Proposals states "Applicants must document their plan for adapting their proposals and construction activities based upon the results of the Ecological Baseline Studies and Economic Assessment to be in coordination with, or to supply additional and ongoing data and assessments." How should we interpret this? a) Should applicants plan to initiate their one year site specific preconstruction assessment after the completion of the Baseline Study? b) Can the applicant initiate its site specific study even while the Baseline Study is ongoing?	Also, see response to question 38 above.
80. Please explain the current availability of SO2 (SOX) and NOX credit allowances for this project.	